arXiv:physics/0511175v1 [physics.flu-dyn] 20 Nov 2005

Preprint
UCRL-JRNL-214578

A viscous compressible model of soap film flow and its equivalence with the Navier-Stokes equations

Petri Fast
Center for Applied Scientific Computing
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

This article was submitted to Phys. Fluids

October 24, 2005

Approved for public release; further dissemination unlimited

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor the University of California nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or the University of California, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

This is a preprint of a paper intended for publication in a journal or proceedings. Since changes may be made before publication, this preprint is made available with the understanding that it will not be cited or reproduced without the permission of the author.

This research was supported under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by the University of California, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under contract No. W-7405-Eng-48.

Approved for public release; further dissemination unlimited

UCRL-JRNL-214578

A viscous compressible model of soap film flow and its equivalence with the Navier-Stokes equations

Petri Fast*
Center for Applied Scientific Computing, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA

(Dated: October 24, 2005)

We present a quasi-two dimensional model of flowing soap films that bears striking similarity to the compressible Navier-Stokes equations. The variation in soap film thickness that is commonly used for flow visualization in experiments is analogous to density variations in the Navier-Stokes equations. When the soap film flow velocity is comparable to the Marangoni elastic wave velocity we recover the compressible Navier-Stokes equations and the soap film behaves like a two-dimensional isothermal viscous gas.

PACS numbers: 47.10.+g, 47.40.-x, 02.30.Mv
Keywords: soap film flow, compressible Navier-Stokes equations, quasi 2-D flow, vorticity dynamics

Fast flowing soap films have been used extensively as an experimental realization of two-dimensional fluid dynamics[1]. The purpose of this Letter is to present a new quasi-two dimensional model of flowing soap films that bears striking similarity to the compressible Navier-Stokes equations. In a particular case, we can formally identify the viscous soap film model with the two-dimensional compressible Navier-Stokes equations: The variation in soap film thickness that is commonly used for flow visualization in experiments is analogous to density variations in the Navier-Stokes equations in a quasi-two dimensional setting. The effective viscosity in our model is variable and depends on the film thickness.

The original papers [2, 3] lay out the experimental and theoretical foundation for considering flow in flat soap films as classical two-dimensional flow. A new experimental technique developed in the late 1990's[1] further popularized this approach by enabling very large, long-time stable, gravity driven soap films that have been used for studies of two-dimensional turbulence [4–6], fluid-structure interactions [7] and shockwave dynamics [8].

Most previous theoretical work on modeling flowing variable thickness soap films has considered them as represented by the two-dimensional constant density incompressible Navier-Stokes equations[2]. However, Vorobieff *et al.*[4] have pointed out in a series of papers significant thickness variations in rapid soap film flows. Chomaz [9] derived recently a new model of soap film flow and argued that: (1) The model in an inviscid limit is equivalent to the two-dimensional compressible Euler equations, and (2) no correspondance with the compressible Navier-Stokes equations could be found.

In this Letter, we establish a connection between the compressible Navier-Stokes equations and a model of flowing soap films. We derive a quasi-two dimensional viscous compressible model of fast flowing soap film that is applicable in subsonic and supersonic regimes. The model is derived systematically from the three-dimensional incompressible Navier-Stokes equations coupled with a model of surfactant transport and capillary forces on the free surfaces.

We consider a flowing soap film of thickness H and width L and scale $z \sim H$ and the lateral directions $x, y \sim L$. In typical experiments $H \approx 10\mu$m and $L \approx 10$cm. We develop a thin film model using the small parameter $\varepsilon = H/L$. The film is driven by an incoming flow rate Q which determines a characteristic flow velocity $U = Q/HL$. An important characteristic of soap films is the Marangoni stress that arises from variations of the surface tension σ that depend on the local surfactant concentration Γ on the free surface $z = h(x, y, t)$. We assume a linear surface tension model[10] $\sigma = \sigma_a - \sigma_r\Gamma$ with parameters σ_a, σ_r. We scale the velocity $u, v \sim U$, $w \sim \varepsilon U$, and the pressure $p \sim \varepsilon\sigma_m/L$ to balance the capillary forces, and define the mean surface tension $\sigma_m = \sigma_a - \sigma_r\Gamma_m$, and the mean surfactant concentration Γ_m.

The scaling leads to five nondimensional groups: the Reynolds number $\mathrm{Re} = \rho UL/\mu_0$, the elastic *(Marangoni)* Mach number $\mathrm{Ma} = U(\rho H/(\sigma_r\Gamma_m))^{1/2}$, the bending (capillary) Mach number $\mathrm{Mb} = \varepsilon^{-1}U(\rho H/\sigma_m)^{1/2}$, the surface Schmidt number $\mathrm{Sg} = \mu_0/(\rho D_{surf})$ for the diffusion of Γ, and the bulk Schmidt number $\mathrm{Sc} = \mu_0/(\rho D_c)$ for the diffusion of c. We define relaxation constants λ, K^* that describe the exchange of surfactant concentration Γ at the surface with the surfactant concentration c in the interstitial fluid.

A new formulation of two-dimensional viscous compressible soap film flow is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial(h\mathbf{u})}{\partial t} &+ \nabla\cdot(h\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}) = -\frac{1}{\mathrm{Ma}^2}\nabla\Gamma + \frac{h}{\mathrm{Mb}^2}\nabla\nabla^2 h \qquad (1)\\
&+ \nabla\cdot\left\{\frac{h}{\mathrm{Re}}\left[(\nabla\mathbf{u}+\nabla\mathbf{u}^T)+2(\nabla\cdot\mathbf{u})I\right]\right\}\\
\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} &+ \nabla\cdot(h\mathbf{u}) = 0 \qquad (2)\\
\frac{\partial\Gamma}{\partial t} &+ \nabla\cdot(\Gamma\mathbf{u}) = -\lambda(\Gamma - c) + \frac{1}{\mathrm{SgRe}}\nabla^2\Gamma \qquad (3)\\
\frac{\partial(hc)}{\partial t} &+ \nabla\cdot(hc\mathbf{u}) = \lambda K^*(\Gamma - c) + \frac{h}{\mathrm{ScRe}}\nabla^2 c \qquad (4)
\end{aligned}$$

based on the primitive variable equations introduced by

Chomaz[9]. Here I is the identity tensor. A full derivation of Eqs. (1)–(4) is provided below after we discuss some of the properties of this model.

A key result of this Letter is the new formulation of the viscous stress tensor $\eta(\nabla\mathbf{u}+\nabla\mathbf{u}^T)+2\eta''(\nabla\cdot\mathbf{u})I$ in the soap film model: This is formally identical to the viscous terms in the compressible Navier-Stokes equations with dynamic viscosity $\eta = 2h/\mathrm{Re}$ and dilatational viscosity $\eta'' = h/\mathrm{Re}$. Actually, the viscous terms in Chomaz [9, Eqs. (3.14)], and Ida & Miksis [11, Eqs. (14)–(18)], which were written out in nonconservative form, are identical to the viscous term in Eq. (1). The benefit of rewriting the viscous terms in conservative form is that it reveals the similarity with the compressible Navier-Stokes equations. Past work[9, Sec. 4.2 on p. 404] expressed doubts that a soap film model can be compared with the compressible Navier-Stokes equations since it appeared that the soap film viscous stress could not be expressed in a form such as Eq. (1).

In fact, Eqs. (1)–(4) are formally equivalent to the compressible Navier-Stokes equations in flows where dispersive effects are insignificant ($1/\mathrm{Mb}\to 0$) and surfactants are insoluble ($\lambda \to 0$). The thickness h and concentration Γ in the soap film model correspond to the density and pressure, respectively, in the Navier-Stokes equations with a special equation-of-state. We consider two special cases.

First, we consider a finite Reynolds number version of the "inviscid supersonic soap film" limit in Ref. [9, Sec. 4.2]. The interstitial soap concentration $c \equiv C_0$ is assumed to be constant. Eqs. (1)–(4) simplify to

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial(h\mathbf{u})}{\partial t} &+ \nabla\cdot(h\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}) = \frac{-1}{\mathrm{Ma}^2}\nabla\Gamma && (5)\\ &+ \frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}\nabla\cdot\left\{\eta[(\nabla\mathbf{u}+\nabla\mathbf{u}^T)+2(\nabla\cdot\mathbf{u})I]\right\} &&\\ \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} &+ \nabla\cdot(h\mathbf{u}) = 0 && (6)\\ \frac{\partial\Gamma}{\partial t} &+ \nabla\cdot(\Gamma\mathbf{u}) = 0, && (7)\end{aligned}$$

where the dynamic and dilatational viscosity is $\eta = h$. The ratio Γ/h is conserved along streamlines in this limit[9] which corresponds to the behavior of p/ρ in isothermal flows. Therefore, the soap film Eqs. (5)–(7) are identical to the compressible Navier-Stokes equations for isothermal fluids without viscous heating. In the inviscid limit $\mathrm{Re}\to\infty$ these equations are identical to Eq. (4.13) in Ref. [9].

Second, we consider incompressible flow in a variable thickness soap film. Eqs. (5)–(7) simplify to

$$\frac{\partial(h\mathbf{u})}{\partial t}+\nabla\cdot(h\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}) = -\nabla\phi+\frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}\nabla\cdot\left\{\eta(\nabla\mathbf{u}+\nabla\mathbf{u}^T)\right\},$$

$h_t+\nabla\cdot(h\mathbf{u})=0$, $\nabla\cdot\mathbf{u}=0$, where we have define a scaled surfactant concentration $\phi=\Gamma/\mathrm{Ma}^2$. This model corresponds formally to the incompressible variable density Navier-Stokes equations with a density dependent viscosity, and with ϕ acting as a pressure-like variable. The inviscid limit $\mathrm{Re}\to\infty$ reduces to Ref. [9, Sec. 4.1.2.].

We note that air drag effects can significantly change soap film flow measurements over flow distances of tens of centimeters[12, 13]. However, recent experiments operate in a regime where air drag effects are secondary and the dominant forces are due to the dynamics of the thin liquid layer[14]. Future work will consider air drag effects[12, 13] in the model (1)–(4).

We discuss an "acoustic" limit as the simplest flow that can be used to illustrate compressibility effects. Consider the small perturbations $\mathbf{u} = 0+\tilde{u}$, $h = 1+\tilde{h}$, and $\Gamma = 1+\tilde{\Gamma}$ on top of a quiescent base flow $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$, $\bar{h}$, and $\bar{\Gamma}$. The leading order linear equation is

$$\frac{\partial^2\tilde{u}}{\partial t^2} = -\frac{1}{\mathrm{Mb}^2}\frac{\partial^4\tilde{u}}{\partial x^4}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{Ma}^2}\frac{\partial^2\tilde{u}}{\partial x^2}+\frac{4}{\mathrm{Re}}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\frac{\partial\tilde{u}}{\partial t}. \qquad (8)$$

The last term in Eq. (8) causes viscous dissipation of the wave solutions and is omitted for simplicity in the following discussion. The dispersion relation for solutions of the form $\tilde{u} = \exp(i(\omega t+kx))$ reveals two types of limiting behavior. Pure bending waves (for $\mathrm{Ma},\mathrm{Re}\to\infty$) are described by

$$\frac{\partial^2\tilde{u}}{\partial t^2} = -\frac{1}{\mathrm{Mb}^2}\frac{\partial^4\tilde{u}}{\partial x^4}$$

and the dispersion relation $\omega = \pm k^2/\mathrm{Mb}$. These waves are dispersive[15] with a group velocity $d\omega/dk = 2k/\mathrm{Mb}$ that is twice the phase velocity. This is similar to the dispersive effect of the capillary terms in water wave theory[15]. The Navier-Stokes or Euler equations do not exhibit this type of behavior.

Marangoni waves arise from the limit $\mathrm{Mb},\mathrm{Re}\to\infty$, where the small amplitude dynamics is governed by

$$\frac{\partial^2\tilde{u}}{\partial t^2} = \frac{1}{\mathrm{Ma}^2}\frac{\partial^2\tilde{u}}{\partial x^2}.$$

These elastic waves arise from stretching of the film that induces tangential stresses to restore the equilibrium concentration. Marangoni waves are analogous to sound waves in gas dynamics with the dispersion relation $\omega = \pm k/\mathrm{Ma}$, and a (nondimensional) phase and group velocity $1/\mathrm{Ma}$ that is independent of wavenumber. Slow flowing soap films can be viewed as 2-d incompressible, when the flow speed is a small fraction of the elastic sound speed ($\mathrm{Ma}\ll 1$). However, many experiments operate in a regime where $\mathrm{Ma} = O(1)$ and 2-d compressibility effects are clearly visible[16]. Marangoni waves have been measured in recent soap film experiments[8, Table 1].

We present the details of the derivation for completeness and to simplify some aspects of past work[9, 11]. The dynamics of the soap film is governed by the three-dimension incompressible Navier-Stokes equations with constant density ρ and viscosity μ. We define two-dimensional quantities $\mathbf{u} = (u, v)$, $\nabla p = (p_x, p_y)$, and $\nabla^2 u = u_{xx} + u_{yy}$ and use subscripts to denote derivatives. We assume the soap film flow is symmetric about the center surface and impose $u_z = 0$, $v_z = 0$, $w = 0$, $c_z = 0$ at $z = 0$. Hence we ignore the bending mode and are only considering the symmetric (peristaltic) mode[2, 9]. The thin layer of fluid is surrounded by free surfaces $z = \pm h(x, y, t)$ on which surfactant is transported. The surfactant concentration $c(x, y, z, t)$ in the interstitial fluid satisfies[9, 17] an advection-diffusion equation with a diffusivity D_c and a free-surface boundary condition $D_c \partial c/\partial n = \mathbf{j}$. The flux $\mathbf{j} = (Kc - \Gamma)/\tau$ models surfactant transport between the surface and the interior of the film with transport parameters K, τ. The use of both an interior and a surface concentrations c and Γ in a soap film model is due to Chomaz[9].

The surfactant on the on the free surface $z = h(x, y, t)$ has a variable concentration Γ that is transported according to [18]

$$\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial t} + \nabla_s \cdot (\Gamma \mathbf{u}) + (2\mathcal{M})(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{u})\Gamma = D_{surf} \nabla_s^2 \Gamma + \mathbf{j} \quad (9)$$

where the flow and derivative operators are restricted to the surface, the flux $\mathbf{j}$ allows exchange of surfactant with the interior concentration c, and $2\mathcal{M}$ is the mean curvature (see [19, 20] for details). We denote the average concentration C_m, which yields the average surfactant concentration $\Gamma_m = KC_m$. We scale $\lambda = L/(\tau U)$, and $K^* = K/H$.

The nondimensional bulk equations are, on dropping the primes,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{D\mathbf{u}}{Dt} + w\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial z} &= -\frac{1}{\mathrm{Ma}^2}\nabla p + \frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}\nabla^2 \mathbf{u} + \frac{\varepsilon^{-2}}{\mathrm{Re}}\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{u}}{\partial z^2} \\
\frac{Dw}{Dt} + w\frac{\partial w}{\partial z} &= -\frac{\varepsilon^{-2}}{\mathrm{Ma}^2}\frac{\partial p}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}\nabla^2 w + \frac{\varepsilon^{-2}}{\mathrm{Re}}\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial z^2} \\
\frac{Dc}{Dt} + w\frac{\partial c}{\partial z} &= \frac{1}{\mathrm{ScRe}}\nabla^2 c + \frac{\varepsilon^{-2}}{\mathrm{ScRe}}\frac{\partial^2 c}{\partial z^2},
\end{aligned}$$

and the divergence free condition $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} + \partial w/\partial z = 0$.

The free surface $z = h(x, y, t)$ satisfies a kinematic boundary condition $h_t + uh_x + vh_y = w$. The viscous surface stresses are balanced by capillary forces and Marangoni stresses arising from the surfactant concentration distribution. The nondimensional surface tension is given by $\sigma = 1 + \zeta\,(1 - \Gamma)\,$, where $\zeta = \sigma_r \Gamma_m/\sigma_m = \varepsilon^2 \mathrm{Re}/\mathrm{Ma}^2$. The scaling $\zeta = O(\varepsilon^2)$ is determined by a distinguished limit arising in the tangential stress condition (see below). The normal stress condition $\llbracket -p + 2\mu_0 \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{n} \rrbracket = \mathbf{2}\sigma\mathcal{M}$ in nondimensional form is

$$\begin{aligned}
(p + 2\mathcal{M}\,(1 + \zeta(1 - \Gamma))) &= \frac{2\mathrm{Mb}^2}{\mathrm{Re}\xi^2}\,\{h_x u_z + h_y v_z + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} \\
-\varepsilon^2(h_x^2 u_x + h_y^2 v_y &+ h_x h_y (u_y + v_x) \\
&- h_x w_x - h_y w_y)\} + O(\varepsilon^4),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\xi^2 = 1 + \varepsilon^2 |\nabla h|^2$ and $2\mathcal{M} = \nabla^2 h + O(\varepsilon^2)$[19, 20].

The tangential stress conditions $\llbracket \mathbf{s}^1 \cdot \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{n} \rrbracket = \mathbf{s^1} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{s}}\sigma$ and $\llbracket \mathbf{s}^2 \cdot \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{n} \rrbracket = \mathbf{s^2} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{s}}\sigma$ in dimensionless form are

$$\begin{aligned}
u_z &+ \varepsilon^2[-2h_x u_x - h_y(u_y + v_x) + w_x - h_x^2 u_z \\
&- h_x h_y v_z + 2h_x^2 w_z] = -\varepsilon^2 \frac{\mathrm{Re}}{\mathrm{Ma}^2}\Gamma_x + O(\varepsilon^4) \\
v_z &+ \varepsilon^2[-2h_y v_y - h_x(u_y + v_x) + w_y - h_y^2 v_z \\
&- h_x h_y u_z + 2h_y^2 w_z] = -\varepsilon^2 \frac{\mathrm{Re}}{\mathrm{Ma}^2}\Gamma_y + O(\varepsilon^4).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that we have the choice of at least two scalings of the coefficient ζ. In the first case, $\zeta = \varepsilon^2 \mathrm{Mb}^2/\mathrm{Ma}^2 = O(\varepsilon^2)$, and the surfactant concentration dependent terms do not enter the dynamics through the normal stress condition (10) at leading order which leads to the soap film model (1)–(4). This is the distinguished limit considered in Chomaz[9], following earlier work [10, 11]. In the second case, $\zeta = O(1)$, the leading order fluid velocity would be slaved to the surfactant concentration[10]. This is not a physically relevant scaling for gravity driven fast soap film flows. The $\zeta = O(1)$ scaling is not considered here any further.

We expand the solution $\mathbf{q} = (h, u, v, \Gamma, c, p) = \bar{\mathbf{q}} + \varepsilon^2 \tilde{\mathbf{q}} + O(\varepsilon^4)$ in ε. We will obtain equations of motion depending only on (x, y, t) by averaging across the thin layer in the z direction.

The leading order tangential stress condition $\bar{\mathbf{u}}_z = 0$ implies $\bar{\mathbf{u}} = \bar{\mathbf{u}}(x, y, t)$. The vertical velocity $\bar{w}$ satisfies $\bar{w} = -z\nabla \cdot \bar{\mathbf{u}}$. The leading order velocity $\bar{\mathbf{u}} = \bar{\mathbf{u}}(x, y, t)$ is determined by the $O(\varepsilon^2)$ equations as in the derivation of thin jet models[10, 21]. The normal stress condition (10) at leading order is

$$\bar{p} + \nabla^2 \bar{h} = -\frac{2\mathrm{Mb}^2}{\mathrm{Re}}\,(\nabla \cdot \bar{\mathbf{u}})\,.$$

The leading order mass conservation and surfactant transport equations are $\bar{c}_z = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{h}_t + \bar{u}\bar{h}_x + \bar{v}\bar{h}_y &= \bar{w} = -\bar{h}\nabla \cdot \bar{\mathbf{u}}, \\
\bar{\Gamma}_t + \bar{u}\bar{\Gamma}_x + \bar{v}\bar{\Gamma}_y + \bar{\Gamma}(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) &= \lambda(\bar{c} - \bar{\Gamma}) + \frac{1}{\mathrm{SgRe}}\nabla^2 \bar{\Gamma}.
\end{aligned}$$

The interstitial concentration satisfies $\tilde{c}_z = -\lambda \varepsilon^2 \mathrm{ReSc} K^*(\bar{c} - \bar{\Gamma})$, which allows integration of the leading order to obtain Eq. (4). The momentum equations at $O(\varepsilon^2)$ are

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_z = \bar{h}\left(\frac{D\bar{\mathbf{u}}}{Dt} + \frac{1}{\mathrm{Mb}^2}\nabla \bar{p} - \frac{1}{\mathrm{Re}}\nabla^2 \bar{\mathbf{u}}\right),$$

and the tangential stress conditions are

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{u}_z &= -\frac{\mathrm{Re}}{\mathrm{Ma}^2}\bar{\Gamma}_x + 2\bar{h}_x \bar{u}_x + \bar{h}_y(\bar{u}_y + \bar{v}_x) \\
&\quad + \bar{h}(\nabla \cdot \bar{\mathbf{u}})_x + 2\bar{h}_x \nabla \cdot \bar{\mathbf{u}}, \\
\tilde{v}_z &= -\frac{\mathrm{Re}}{\mathrm{Ma}^2}\bar{\Gamma}_y + 2\bar{h}_y \bar{v}_y + \bar{h}_x(\bar{u}_y + \bar{v}_x) \\
&\quad + \bar{h}(\nabla \cdot \bar{\mathbf{u}})_y + 2\bar{h}_y \nabla \cdot \bar{\mathbf{u}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The leading order momentum equation is rewritten in divergence form as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Re}\left(\bar{h}\frac{D\bar{u}}{Dt}\right. &+ \left.\frac{1}{\mathrm{Ma}^2}\bar{\Gamma}_x - \frac{\bar{h}}{\mathrm{Mb}^2}\nabla^2\bar{h}_x\right) \\
&= 2\partial_x\left(\bar{h}\bar{u}_x\right) + \partial_y\left(\bar{h}\bar{u}_y + \bar{h}\bar{v}_x\right) + 2\partial_x\left(\bar{h}\nabla\cdot\bar{\mathbf{u}}\right), \\
\mathrm{Re}\left(\bar{h}\frac{D\bar{v}}{Dt}\right. &+ \left.\frac{1}{\mathrm{Ma}^2}\bar{\Gamma}_y - \frac{\bar{h}}{\mathrm{Mb}^2}\nabla^2\bar{h}_y\right) \\
&= \partial_x\left(\bar{h}\bar{v}_x + \bar{h}\bar{u}_y\right) + 2\partial_y\left(\bar{h}\bar{v}_y\right) + 2\partial_y\left(\bar{h}\nabla\cdot\bar{\mathbf{u}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Eq. (1) follows since we can define the rate-of-strain tensor $\mathbf{D} = (\nabla\mathbf{u} + \nabla\mathbf{u}^T)/2$ and use $2\nabla\cdot\left(\bar{h}\mathbf{D}\right)$ to simplify the RHS. This completes the derivation.

In summary, we have presented a quasi-two dimensional viscous compressible model of soap film flow that shares many similarities with the Navier-Stokes equations but that also presents some intriguing differences. Future research is needed to determine the appropriate boundary conditions for the model since the analysis herein and in past work[9] is only valid away from physical boundaries extending through the film. Further, the vorticity $\omega = v_x - u_y$ in a soap film satisfies, ignoring molecular diffusion ($\mathrm{Re} \to \infty$),

$$\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\omega = -\omega(\nabla\cdot\mathbf{u}) + \frac{1}{h^2\,\mathrm{Ma}^2}\nabla h \times \nabla\Gamma. \quad (10)$$

The baroclinic[22] term $\nabla h \times \nabla\Gamma = -h_y\Gamma_x + h_x\Gamma_y$ acts as a vorticity source in regions where the isocontours of thickness and surfactant concentration do not coincide, This effect is absent from constant density Navier-Stokes flow. Numerical simulations using Eqs. (1)–(4) will be necessary to investigate the importance of baroclinic effects, surface bending elasticity contributions, viscosity and compressibility in fast flowing soap film experiments.

We thank Mr. Pak-Wing Fok and Prof. Jonathan Goodman for discussions. This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by University of California Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under contract No. W-7405-Eng-48.

---

[*] Electronic address: `fast1@llnl.gov`

[1] M. A. Rutgers, X. L. Wu, and W. B. Daniel. Conducting fluid dynamics experiments with vertically falling soap films. *Rev. Sci. Ins.*, 72:3025–3037, 2001.

[2] Y. Couder, J. M. Chomaz, and M. Rabaud. On the hydrodynamics of soap films. *Physica D*, 37:384–405, 1989.

[3] M. Gharib and P. Derango. A liquid film (soap film) tunnel to study two-dimensional laminar and turbulent shear flows. *Physica D*, 37:406–416, 1989.

[4] P. Vorobieff, M. Rivera, and R. E. Ecke. Soap film flows: Statistics of two-dimensional turbulence. *Phys. Fluids*, 11:2167–2177, 1999.

[5] G. K. Batchelor. Computation of the energy spectrum in homogeneous two-dimensional turbulence. *Phys. Fluids Suppl., II*, 12:233–239, 1969.

[6] R. H. Kraichnan. Inertial ranges in two-dimensional turbulence. *Phys. Fluids*, 10:1417–1423, 1967.

[7] J. Zhang, S. Childress, A. Libchaber, and M. J. Shelley. Flexible filaments in a flowing soap film as a model for one-dimensional flags in a two-dimensional wind. *Nature*, 408:835–839, 2000.

[8] C. Y. Wen, S. K. Chang-Jian, and M. C. Chuang. Analogy between soap film and gas dynamics. II experiments on one-dimensional motion of shock waves in in soap films. *Exp. Fluids*, 34:173–180, 2003.

[9] J.-M. Chomaz. The dynamics of a viscous soap film with soluble surfactant. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 442:387–409, 2001.

[10] A. De Wit, D. Gallez, and C. I. Christov. Nonlinear evolution equations for thin liquid films with insoluble surfactants. *Phys. Fluids*, 6:3256–3266, 1994.

[11] M. P. Ida and M. J. Miksis. The dynamics of thin films II: Applications. *SIAM J. Appl. Math*, 58:474–500, 1998.

[12] M. A. Rutgers, A. A. Petersen, and W. I. Goldburg. Two-dimensional velocity profiles and laminar boundary layers in flowing soap films. *Phys. Fluids*, 8:2847–2854, 1996.

[13] M.-J. Huang, C.-Y. Wen, I.-C. Lee, and C.-H. Tsai. Air-damping effects on developing velocity profiles in flowing soap films. *Phys. Fluids*, 16:3975–3982, 2004.

[14] M.-H. Wu, Wen C.-Y., Yen R.-H., M.-C. Weng, and A.-B. Wang. Experimental and numerical study of the separation angle for flow around a circular cylinder at low Reynolds number. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 515:233–260, 2004.

[15] Sir James Lighthill. *Waves in Fluids.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1978.

[16] M Rivera, P. Vorobieff, and R. E. Ecke. Turbulence in flowing soap films: Velocity, vorticity, and thickness fields. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 81:001417, 1998.

[17] V. G. Levich and V. S. Krylov. Surface-tension-driven phenomena. *Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech.*, 1:293–316, 1969.

[18] H. A. Stone. A simple derivation of the time-dependent convective-diffusion equation for surfactant transport along a deforming interface. *Phys. Fluids A*, 2:111–112, 1990.

[19] See EPAPS Document No. [number will be inserted by publisher] for a detailed description of the surface geometry and the mean curvature. This document can be reached via a direct link in the online article's HTML reference section or via the EPAPS homepage (http://www.aip.org/pubservs/epaps.html).

[20] D. J. Struik. *Lectures on Classical Differential Geometry.* Dover, New York, 2nd edition, 1988.

[21] T. Erneux and S. H. Davis. Nonlinear rupture of free films. *Phys. Fluids A*, 5:1117–1122, 1993.

[22] M. Lesieur. *Turbulence in Fluids.* Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 3rd edition, 1997.

SUPPLEMENT:
A viscous compressible model of soap film flow and its equivalence with the Navier-Stokes equations

SOAP FILM SURFACE GEOMETRY

In this section, we summarize differential geometry results that are needed for describing the surface geometry of the soap film. We derive expressions for the mean curvature of the surface following Struik[20, Sec. 2-7]. We consider the dynamics of a thin fluid layer that is symmetric about the center surface $z = 0$ of the film.

The surface of the soap film is located at $z = \pm h(x, y, t)$. In the following, the time dependence is implied and left out from the notation. All the expressions are dimensional. (Note that in the non-dimensional, scaled, setting the surface height gradients become $\nabla h \to \varepsilon \nabla h$.)

We denote the surface vector by $\xi(x, y) = (x, y, h(x, y))$. The surface normal is

$$\mathbf{n} = \frac{\xi_x \times \xi_y}{|\xi_x \times \xi_y|} = \frac{(-h_x, -h_y, 1)}{\left(1 + h_x^2 + h_y^2\right)^{1/2}}, \tag{11}$$

where $\xi_x = (1, 0, h_x)$, $\xi_y = (0, 1, h_y)$, and $|\xi_x \times \xi_y|^2 = 1 + h_x^2 + h_y^2$. The surface tangent vectors are

$$\mathbf{s}_1 = \frac{(1, 0, h_x)}{\left(1 + h_x^2 + h_y^2\right)^{1/2}}, \quad \mathbf{s}_2 = \frac{(0, 1, h_y)}{\left(1 + h_x^2 + h_y^2\right)^{1/2}}. \tag{12}$$

The mean curvature is determined from the first and second fundamental form[20]

$$I = |\xi_x|^2 \, dx^2 + 2\xi_x \cdot \xi_y \, dx \, dy + |\xi_y|^2 \, dy^2, \tag{13}$$

$$II = \xi_{xx} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, dx^2 + 2\xi_{xy} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, dx \, dy + \xi_{yy} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, dy^2, \tag{14}$$

where $|\xi_x|^2 = 1 + h_x^2$, $|\xi_y|^2 = 1 + h_y^2$ and $2\xi_x \cdot \xi_y = h_x \, h_y$. The fundamental forms simplify to

$$\begin{aligned} I &= (1 + h_x^2) \, dx^2 + 2h_x h_y \, dx \, dx + (1 + h_y^2) \, dy^2, \\ II &= \frac{h_{xx}}{s} \, dx^2 + \frac{2h_{xy}}{s} \, dx \, dy + \frac{h_{yy}}{s} \, dy^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $s = (1 + h_x^2 + h_y^2)^{1/2}$. The mean curvature is, following Struik's notation[20],

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{Eg - 2fF + eG}{EG - F^2} \tag{15}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\nabla^2 h + h_x^2 h_y y - 2h_x h_y h_{xy} + h_y^2 h_x x}{(1 + |\nabla h|^2)^{3/2}}. \tag{16}$$

Here

$$E = 1 + h_x^2, \quad e = \frac{h_{xx}}{\left(1 + h_x^2 + h_y^2\right)^{1/2}} \tag{17}$$

$$F = h_x h_y, \quad f = \frac{h_{xy}}{\left(1 + h_x^2 + h_y^2\right)^{1/2}} \tag{18}$$

$$G = 1 + h_y^2 \quad g = \frac{h_{yy}}{\left(1 + h_x^2 + h_y^2\right)^{1/2}}. \tag{19}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} EG - F^2 &= (1 + h_x^2)(1 + h_y^2) - h_x^2 h_y^2 = s^2, \\ Eg - 2fF + eG &= \left((1 + h_x^2)h_{yy} - 2h_x h_y h_{xy} + (1 + h_y^2)h_{xx}\right)/s. \end{aligned}$$

For an almost flat surface we obtain $2\mathcal{M} \approx \nabla^2 h$.

VISCOUS SURFACE STRESSES

We summarize here the dimensional viscous surface stresses at $O(1)$ and $O(\varepsilon^2)$. Define $\xi^2 = 1+|\varepsilon\nabla h|^2$. First, recall the tangent vectors $\mathbf{s}^1 = (1, 0, \varepsilon h_x)/\xi$, $\mathbf{s}^2 = (0, 1, \varepsilon h_y)/\xi$, and the normal vector $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{s}^1 \times \mathbf{s}^2 = (-\varepsilon h_x, -\varepsilon h_y, 1)/\xi$. The viscous surface stresses are, to $O(\varepsilon^4)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n} &= \frac{U}{L\xi^2}\left(-h_x u_z - h_y v_z - \nabla\cdot\mathbf{u} + \varepsilon^2\left\{h_x^2 u_x + h_y^2 v_y + h_x h_y (u_y + v_x) - h_x w_x - h_y w_y\right\}\right), && (20)\\
\mathbf{s}^1\cdot\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n} &= \frac{U}{2\varepsilon L\xi^2}\left(u_z + \varepsilon^2\left\{-2h_x u_x - h_y(u_y + v_x) + w_x - h_x^2 u_z - h_x h_y v_z + 2h_x^2 w_z\right\}\right), && (21)\\
\mathbf{s}^2\cdot\mathbf{D}\cdot\mathbf{n} &= \frac{U}{2\varepsilon L\xi^2}\left(v_z + \varepsilon^2\left\{-2h_y v_y - h_x(u_y + v_x) + w_y - h_y^2 v_z - h_x h_y u_z + 2h_y^2 w_z\right\}\right). && (22)
\end{aligned}$$